The Scalar Mesons in Decays of the $\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ Families
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Motivation

The problem of interpretation of scalar mesons, tightly related to the most profound topics concerning the QCD vacuum, is far away to be solved. This concerns as parameters of the scalar mesons as their nature and status of some of them (J.Beringer et al. (PDG), PR D\textbf{86} (2012) 010001). E.g., applying our method of the uniformizing variable in the 3-channel analyses of multi-channel $\pi\pi$ scattering (Yu.S.Surovtsev et al., PR D\textbf{81} (2010) 016001; Yu.S.Surovtsev et al., PR D\textbf{85} (2012) 036002) we have obtained parameters of the $f_0(600)$ and $f_0(1500)$ which differ considerably from results of analyses utilizing other methods (mainly based on dispersion relation and Breit-Wigner approaches). Reasons for this difference were understood in our works of previous year (Yu.S. Surovtsev et al., PR D \textbf{86} (2012) 116002; arXiv: 1206.3438v2 [hep-ph]; 1207.6937[hep-ph]). We have shown that when studying wide multi-channel resonances, as the scalar ones, the Riemann-surface structure of the $S$-matrix of considered processes must be allowed for properly. For the scalar states this is, as minimum, the 8-sheeted Riemann surface. This is related with a necessity to analyze jointly coupled processes $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta$ because (it was shown) studying only $\pi\pi$ scattering it is impossible to obtain correct values for the scalar states parameters. For calculating masses, total widths and coupling constants of resonances with channels, one must use the poles on sheets II, IV and VIII, depending on the state type.
Important conclusion: Even if a wide state does not decay into a channel which opens above its mass but it is strongly connected with this channel, one ought to consider this state taking into account the Riemann surface sheets related to the threshold branch-point of this channel. I.e., the standard dispersion relation approach in which amplitudes are considered on the 2-sheeted Riemann surface does not suit for correct determination of this state parameters.

Note an importance of our above conclusions because our approach is based only on the demand for analyticity and unitarity of amplitude using an uniformization procedure. The construction of the amplitude is essentially free from any dynamical (model) assumptions utilizing only the mathematical fact that a local behaviour of analytic functions determined on the Riemann surface is governed by the nearest singularities on all corresponding sheets. Therefore it seems that our approach permits us to omit theoretical prejudice in extracting the resonance parameters.

Analyzing only $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta(\eta\eta')$ (Yu.S.Surovtsev, P.Bydžovský, V.E.Lyubovitskij, PR D85 (2012) 036002) in the 3-channel approach, we have shown that experimental data on the $\pi\pi$ scattering below 1 GeV admit two possibilities for parameters of the $f_0(600)$ with mass, relatively near to the $\rho$-meson mass, and with the total widths about 600 and 950 MeV – solutions "A" and "B", respectively.
Furthermore, it was shown that for the states $f_0(1370)$, $f_0(1500)$ (as a superposition of two states, broad and narrow) and $f_0(1710)$, there are four scenarios of possible representation by poles and zeros on the Riemann surface giving the similar description of the above processes and, however, the quite different parameters of some resonances. E.g., for the $f_0(600)$ (A solution), $f_0(1370)$ and $f_0(1710)$, a following spread of values is obtained for the masses and widths respectively: 605-735 and 567-686 MeV, 1326-1404 and 223-345 MeV, and 1751-1759 and 118-207 MeV.

Adding to the combined analysis the data on decays $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi(\pi\pi, K\overline{K})$ from the DM2, Mark III and BES III Collaborations, we have considerably diminished a quantity of the possible scenarios (Yu.S. Surovtsev, P. Bydžovský, R. Kamiński, V.E. Lyubovitskij, and M. Nagy, arXiv: 1207.6937[hep-ph]). Moreover the di-pion mass distribution of the $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi\pi$ decay of the BES III data from the threshold to about 850 MeV prefers surely the solution with the more wide $f_0(600)$ – B-solution. This is a problem because most of physicists (J.Beringer et al. (PDG), PR D86 (2012) 010001) prefer the less wide $f_0(600)$.

Therefore here we widen our combined analysis adding also accessible data on the decays $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi\pi)$ and $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi\pi$ from the Argus, Crystal Ball, CLEO, CUSB, Mark II Collaborations.
Method of the uniformizing variable in the 3-channel \( \pi \pi \) scattering

Our model-independent method which essentially utilizes a uniformizing variable can be used only for the 2-channel case and under some conditions for the 3-channel one. Only in these cases we obtain a simple symmetric (easily interpreted) picture of the resonance poles and zeros of the \( S \)-matrix on the uniformization plane. The 3-channel \( S \)-matrix is determined on the 8-sheeted Riemann surface. The matrix elements \( S_{ij} \), where \( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \) denote channels, have the right-hand cuts along the real axis of the \( s \) complex plane (\( s \) is the invariant total energy squared), starting with the channel thresholds \( s_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)), and the left-hand cuts. The Riemann-surface sheets are numbered according to the signs of analytic continuations of the square roots \( \sqrt{s - s_i} \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>VIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Im} \sqrt{s - s_1} )</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Im} \sqrt{s - s_2} )</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Im} \sqrt{s - s_3} )</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resonance representations on the Riemann surface are obtained using formulas (D.Krupa, V.A.Meshcheryakov, Yu.S.Surovtsev, NC A109 (1996) 281), expressing analytic continuations of the $S$-matrix elements to all sheets in terms of those on the physical (I) sheet that have only the resonances zeros (beyond the real axis), at least, around the physical region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>VIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1 \rightarrow 1$</td>
<td>$S_{11}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{S_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{S_{22}}{D_{33}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{33}}{S_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\text{det } S}{D_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{11}}{\text{det } S}$</td>
<td>$\frac{S_{33}}{D_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{22}}{S_{33}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \rightarrow 2$</td>
<td>$S_{12}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iS_{12}}{S_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-S_{12}}{D_{33}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iS_{12}}{S_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iD_{12}}{D_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-D_{12}}{\text{det } S}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iD_{12}}{D_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{12}}{S_{33}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \rightarrow 2$</td>
<td>$S_{22}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{33}}{S_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{S_{11}}{D_{33}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{S_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{S_{33}}{D_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{22}}{\text{det } S}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{11}}{D_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{S_{33}}{D_{22}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \rightarrow 3$</td>
<td>$S_{13}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iS_{13}}{S_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-iD_{13}}{D_{33}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-D_{13}}{S_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-iD_{13}}{D_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{13}}{\text{det } S}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-S_{13}}{D_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iS_{13}}{S_{33}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \rightarrow 3$</td>
<td>$S_{23}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{23}}{S_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iD_{23}}{D_{33}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iS_{23}}{S_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-S_{23}}{D_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{-D_{23}}{\text{det } S}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iD_{23}}{D_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{iS_{23}}{S_{33}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3 \rightarrow 3$</td>
<td>$S_{33}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{22}}{S_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\text{det } S}{D_{33}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{11}}{S_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{S_{22}}{D_{11}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{D_{33}}{\text{det } S}$</td>
<td>$\frac{S_{11}}{D_{22}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{S_{33}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table, the superscript $I$ is omitted to simplify the notation, $\text{det } S$ is the determinant of the $3 \times 3$ $S$-matrix on sheet I, $D_{\alpha\beta}$ is the minor of the element $S_{\alpha\beta}$, that is, $D_{11} = S_{22}S_{33} - S_{23}^2$, $D_{22} = S_{11}S_{33} - S_{13}^2$, $D_{33} = S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}^2$, $D_{12} = S_{12}S_{33} - S_{13}S_{23}$, $D_{23} = S_{11}S_{23} - S_{12}S_{13}$, etc.

Then, starting from the resonance zeros on sheet I, one can obtain an arrangement of poles and zeros of resonance on the whole Riemann surface.
In the 3-channel case, we obtain 7 types of resonances corresponding to 7 possible situations when there are resonance zeros on sheet I only in $S_{11}$ – (a); $S_{22}$ – (b); $S_{33}$ – (c); $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ – (d); $S_{22}$ and $S_{33}$ – (e); $S_{11}$ and $S_{33}$ – (f); $S_{11}$, $S_{22}$ and $S_{33}$ – (g). The resonance of every type is represented by the pair of complex-conjugate clusters (of poles and zeros on the Riemann surface).

A necessary and sufficient condition for existence of the multi-channel resonance is its representation by one of the types of pole clusters. A main model-independent contribution of resonances is given by the pole clusters and possible remaining small (model-dependent) contributions of resonances can be included in the background. This is confirmed further by the obtained very simple description of the background.

The cluster type is related to the nature of state. E.g., if we consider the $\pi\pi$, $KK$ and $\eta\eta$ channels, then a resonance, coupled relatively more strongly to the $\pi\pi$ channel than to the $KK$ and $\eta\eta$ ones is described by the cluster of type (a). In the opposite case, it is represented by the cluster of type (e) (say, the state with the dominant $s\bar{s}$ component). The glueball must be represented by the cluster of type (g) as a necessary condition for the ideal case.

Whereas cases (a), (b) and (c) can be related to the resonance representation by Breit-Wigner forms, cases (d), (e), (f) and (g) practically are lost at the Breit-Wigner description.
One can formulate a *model-independent test as a necessary condition* to distinguish a bound state of colorless particles (e.g., a $K\bar{K}$ molecule) and a $q\bar{q}$ bound state (D. Morgan, M. R. Pennington, PR D48 (1993) 1185; D. Krupa, V. A. Meshcheryakov, Yu. S. Surovtsev, Nuovo Cim. A109 (1996) 281).

In the 1-channel case, the existence of the particle bound-state means the presence of a pole on the real axis under the threshold on the physical sheet.

In the 2-channel case, existence of the bound-state in channel 2 ($K\bar{K}$ molecule) that, however, can decay into channel 1 ($\pi\pi$ decay), would imply the presence of the pair of complex conjugate poles on sheet II under the second-channel threshold without the corresponding shifted pair of poles on sheet III.

In the 3-channel case, the bound state in channel 3 ($\eta\eta$) that, however, can decay into channels 1 ($\pi\pi$ decay) and 2 ($K\bar{K}$ decay), is represented by the pair of complex conjugate poles on sheet II and by the pair of shifted poles on sheet III under the $\eta\eta$ threshold without the corresponding poles on sheets VI and VII.

According to this test, earlier we rejected interpretation of the $f_0(980)$ as the $K\bar{K}$ molecule because this state is represented by the cluster of type (a) in the 2-channel analysis of processes $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$ and, therefore, does not satisfy the necessary condition to be the $K\bar{K}$ molecule (D. Krupa, V. A. Meshcheryakov, Yu. S. Surovtsev, NC A109 (1996) 281).
It is convenient to use the Le Couteur-Newton relations (K.J. LeCouteur, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 256 (1960) 115; R.G. Newton, J. Math. Phys. 2 (1961) 188; M. Kato, Ann. Phys. 31 (1965) 130). They express the $S$-matrix elements of all coupled processes in terms of the Jost matrix determinant $d(\sqrt{s - s_1}, \cdots, \sqrt{s - s_n})$ that is a real analytic function with the only branch-points at $\sqrt{s - s_i} = 0$.

The important branch points, corresponding to the thresholds of the coupled channels and to the crossing ones, are taken into account in the proper uniformizing variable.

For the data on multi-channel $\pi\pi$ scattering we used the results of phase analyses which are given for phase shifts of the amplitudes $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and for the modules of the $S$-matrix elements $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = |S_{\alpha\beta}| (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3)$:

$$S_{\alpha\alpha} = \eta_{\alpha\alpha} e^{2i\delta_{\alpha\alpha}}, \quad S_{\alpha\beta} = i\eta_{\alpha\beta} e^{i\phi_{\alpha\beta}}.$$ 

If below the third threshold there is the 2-channel unitarity then the relations

$$\eta_{11} = \eta_{22}, \quad \eta_{12} = (1 - \eta_{11}^2)^{1/2}, \quad \phi_{12} = \delta_{11} + \delta_{22}$$

are fulfilled in this energy region.
In order to use really the representation of resonances by various pole clusters, it ought to transform our multi-valued $S$-matrix, determined on the 8-sheeted Riemann surface, to one-valued function. But that function can be uniformized only on torus with the help of a simple mapping. This is unsatisfactory for our purpose. Therefore, we neglect the influence of the lowest ($\pi\pi$) threshold branch-point (however, unitarity on the $\pi\pi$ cut is taken into account). This approximation means the consideration of the nearest to the physical region semi-sheets of the Riemann surface of the $S$-matrix. In fact, we construct a 4-sheeted model of the initial 8-sheeted Riemann surface that is in accordance with our approach of a consistent account of the nearest singularities on all the relevant sheets.

In the corresponding uniformizing variable, we have neglected the $\pi\pi$-threshold branch-point and taken into account the $K\bar{K}$- and $\eta\eta$-threshold branch-points and the left-hand branch-point at $s = 0$:

$$w = \frac{\sqrt{(s - s_2)s_3} + \sqrt{(s - s_3)s_2}}{\sqrt{s(s_3 - s_2)}} \quad (s_2 = 4m_K^2 \text{ and } s_3 = 4m_\eta^2).$$

Further we show the representation of resonances of types (a), (b), (c) and (g), met in the analysis, on the uniformization $w$-plane for the 3-channel-$\pi\pi$-scattering matrix element.
Figure: Uniformization $w$-plane for the 3-channel-$\pi\pi$-scattering matrix element: Representation of resonances of types (a), (b), (c) and (g).
On the $w$-plane, the Le Couteur-Newton relations are

\[
S_{11} = \frac{d^*(-w^*)}{d(w)}, \quad S_{22} = \frac{d(-w^{-1})}{d(w)}, \quad S_{33} = \frac{d(w^{-1})}{d(w)},
\]

\[
S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}^2 = \frac{d^*(w^{*-1})}{d(w)}, \quad S_{11}S_{33} - S_{13}^2 = \frac{d^*(-w^{*-1})}{d(w)}.
\]

\[
d = d_B d_{\text{res}}, \quad d_{\text{res}}(w) = w^{-\frac{M}{2}} \prod_{r=1}^{M} (w + w_r^*)
\]

$M$ is the number of resonance zeros.

\[
d_B = \exp\left[ -i \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{\sqrt{s - s_n}}{2m_n} (\alpha_n + i\beta_n) \right],
\]

\[
\alpha_n = a_{n1} + a_{n\sigma} \frac{s - s_\sigma}{s_\sigma} \theta(s - s_\sigma) + a_{nv} \frac{s - s_v}{s_v} \theta(s - s_v),
\]

\[
\beta_n = b_{n1} + b_{n\sigma} \frac{s - s_\sigma}{s_\sigma} \theta(s - s_\sigma) + b_{nv} \frac{s - s_v}{s_v} \theta(s - s_v).
\]

$s_\sigma$ is the $\sigma\sigma$ threshold; $s_v$ is the combined threshold of the $\eta\eta'$, $\rho\rho$, $\omega\omega$ channels.
Formalism for calculating di-meson mass distributions of the decays $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi(\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$ and $V' \rightarrow V\pi\pi$ (e.g., $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi\pi)$ and $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi\pi$) can be found in Refs. (D. Morgan, M. R. Pennington, PR D48 (1993) 1185; PR D48 (1993) 5422; B. S. Zou, D. V. Bugg, PR D50 (1994) 591).

There is assumed that pairs of pseudo-scalar mesons of final states have $I = J = 0$ and only they undergo strong interactions, whereas a final vector meson ($\phi$, $V$) acts as a spectator. The amplitudes for decays are related with the scattering amplitudes $T_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1 - \pi\pi, 2 - K\bar{K}$) as follows

$$F(J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi\pi) = \sqrt{2/3} \left[c_1(s)T_{11} + c_2(s)T_{21}\right],$$

$$F(J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K\bar{K}) = \sqrt{1/2} \left[c_1(s)T_{12} + c_2(s)T_{22}\right],$$

$$F(V' \rightarrow V\pi\pi \ (V = \psi, \Upsilon)) = [(d_1, e_1)T_{11} + (d_2, e_2)T_{21}]$$

where $c_1 = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}s$, $c_2 = \alpha_2/(s - \beta_2) + \gamma_{20} + \gamma_{21}s$, and $(d_i, e_i) = (\delta_{i0}, \rho_{i0}) + (\delta_{i1}, \rho_{i1})s$ are functions of couplings of the $J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ to channel $i$; $\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_{i0}, \gamma_{i1}, \delta_{i0}, \rho_{i0}, \delta_{i1}$ and $\rho_{i1}$ are free parameters. The pole term in $c_2$ is an approximation of possible $\phi K$ states, not forbidden by OZI rules when considering quark diagrams of these processes. Obviously this pole should be situated on the real $s$-axis below the $\pi\pi$ threshold.
The combined 3-channel analysis of data on isoscalar S-wave processes
\[ \pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta \] and on \( J/\psi \rightarrow \phi(\pi\pi, K\bar{K}), \psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi\pi) \) and
\( \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi\pi \)

For the \( \pi\pi \) scattering, the data from the threshold to 1.89 GeV are taken from
J.R.Batley et al, EPJ C54 (2008) 411; Hyams et al., NP B64 (1973) 134; 100
(1975) 205 (1975); A.Zylbersztejn et al., PL B38 (1972) 457; P.Sonderegender,
P.Bonamy, in Proc. 5th Intern. Conf. on Elem. Part., Lund, 1969, paper 372;
J.R.Bensinger et al., PL B36 (1971) 134; J.P.Baton et al., PL B33 (1970) 525,
528; P.Baillon et al., PL B38 (1972) 555; L.Rosselet et al., PR D15 (1977) 574;
A.A.Kartamyshev et al., Pis’ma v ZhETF 25 (1977) 68; A.A.Bel’kov et al., Pis’ma
v ZhETF 29 (1979) 652.

For \( \pi\pi \rightarrow K\bar{K} \), practically all the accessible data are used (W.Wetzel et al., NP
B115 (1976) 208; V.A.Polychronakos et al., PR D19 (1979) 1317; P.Estabrooks,
PR D19 (1979) 2678; D.Cohen et al., PR D22 (1980) 2595; G.Costa et al., NP

For \( \pi\pi \rightarrow \eta\eta \), we used data for \(|S_{13}|^2\) from the threshold to 1.72 GeV (F.Binon et
al., NC A78 (1983) 313).
For decays $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi\pi, \phi K\bar{K}$ we have taken data from Mark III (W.Lockman, Hadron’89, Proceedings, p.109), from DM2 (A.Falvard et al., PR D38 (1988) 2706) and from BES III (M.Ablikim et al., PL B607 (2005) 243);
for $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi^+\pi^-)$ from Mark II (G.Gidal et al., PL B107 (1981) 153) and
for $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi^0\pi^0)$ from Crystal Ball Collaborations (M.Oreglia et al., PRL 45 (1980) 959);
for $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)(\pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0)$ from Argus (H.Albrecht et al., PL B134 (1984) 137), CLEO (D.Besson et al., PR D30 (1984) 1433), CUSB (V.Fonseca et al., NP B242 (1984) 31), and Crystal Ball Collaborations (D.Gelphman et al., PR D32 (1985) 2893).

In this combined analyses of the coupled scattering processes and decays, it is assumed that in the 1500-MeV region two states – the narrow $f_0(1500)$ and wide $f_0'(1500)$ – exist.

In performed analysis, more preferable scenarios are when the $f_0(600)$ is described by the cluster of type (a); the $f_0(1370)$ and $f_0(1500)$, type (c) and $f_0'(1500)$, type (g); the $f_0(980)$ is represented only by the pole on sheet II and shifted pole on sheet III. However, the $f_0(1710)$ can be described by clusters either of type (b) or (c). For definiteness, we shall tell on the case when the $f_0(1710)$ is represented by the cluster of type (c).

Parameters of resonances and background are changed very insignificantly in comparison with our analysis (Yu.S. Surovtsev et al., arXiv: 1207.6937[hep-ph]) without consideration of decays $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi\pi)$ and $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi\pi$
confirming our previous results.
The expressions

\[ N|F|^2 \sqrt{(s - s_i)(m_{\psi}^2 - (\sqrt{s} - m_{\phi})^2)(m_{\psi}^2 - (\sqrt{s} + m_{\phi})^2)} \]

for \( J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi \pi, \phi K \overline{K} \) (and the analogues ones for \( V' \rightarrow V \pi \pi \)) give the di-meson mass distributions. \( N \) (normalization to experiment) is 0.7512 for Mark III, 0.3705 for DM2, 5.699 for BES III, 1.015 for Mark II, 0.98 for Crystal Ball(80), 4.3439 for Argus, 2.1776 for CLEO, 1.2011 for CUSB, and 0.0788 for Crystal Ball(85).

Parameters of the coupling functions of the decay particles (\( J/\psi, \psi(2S) \) and \( \Upsilon(2S) \)) to channel \( i \), obtained in the analysis, are \( \alpha_2, \beta_2 = 0.0843, 0.0385, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{21} = 1.1826, 1.2798, -1.9393, -0.9808, \delta_{10}, \delta_{11}, \delta_{20}, \delta_{21} = -0.127, 16.621, 5.983, -57.653, \rho_{10}, \rho_{11}, \rho_{20}, \rho_{21} = 0.405, 47.0963, 1.3352, -21.4343. \)

There is retained the fact that the di-pion mass distribution of the \( J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi \pi \) decay of the BES III data from the threshold to about 850 MeV prefers surely the solution with the wider \( f_0(600) \) – B-solution. Therefore further we will discuss mainly the B solution.

Satisfactory combined description of all analyzed processes is obtained with the total \( \chi^2/NDF = 568.57/(481 - 65) \approx 1.37 \); for the \( \pi \pi \) scattering, \( \chi^2/NDF \approx 1.15 \); for \( \pi \pi \rightarrow K \overline{K}, \chi^2/NDF \approx 1.65 \); for \( \pi \pi \rightarrow \eta \eta, \chi^2/ndp \approx 0.87 \); for decays \( J/\psi \rightarrow \phi(\pi \pi, K \overline{K}), \chi^2/ndp \approx 1.21 \); for \( \psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi \pi), \chi^2/ndp \approx 2.43 \); for \( \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi \pi, \chi^2/ndp \approx 1.01. \)
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The Scalar Mesons in Decays of the $\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ Families
Figure: The $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi\pi, \phi K^+K^-$ decays. The upper panel shows the fit to data of Mark III, the lower to DM2.
Namely this di-pion mass distribution rejects dramatically the A solution with the narrower $f_0(600)$. The corresponding curve lies considerably below the data from the threshold to about 850 MeV.
Figure: The $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ decays. The left figure shows the fit to data of Mark II, the right to Crystal Ball (80).
Figure: The $\Upsilon(2S) \to \Upsilon(1S) \pi\pi$ decays. The upper panel shows the fit to data of Argus (left) and CLEO (right), the lower to CUSB (left) and Crystal Ball (85) (right).
The obtained background parameters are: $a_{11} = 0.0$, $a_{1\sigma} = 0.0199$, $a_{1v} = 0.0$, $b_{11} = b_{1\sigma} = 0.0$, $b_{1v} = 0.0338$, $a_{21} = -2.4649$, $a_{2\sigma} = -2.3222$, $a_{2v} = -6.611$, $b_{21} = b_{2\sigma} = 0.0$, $b_{2v} = 7.073$, $b_{31} = 0.6421$, $b_{3\sigma} = 0.4851$, $b_{3v} = 0$; $s_{\sigma} = 1.6338$ GeV$^2$, $s_v = 2.0857$ GeV$^2$.

The obtained very simple description of the $\pi\pi$-scattering background confirms well our assumption $d = d_B d_{res}$ and also that representation of multi-channel resonances by the pole clusters on the uniformization plane is good and quite sufficient. Moreover, this shows that the consideration of the left-hand branch-point at $s = 0$ in the uniformizing variable solves partly a problem of some approaches (see, e.g., N.N.Achasov, G.N.Shestakov, PR D49 (1994) 5779) that the wide-resonance parameters are strongly controlled by the non-resonant background.
Generally, *wide multi-channel states are most adequately represented by pole clusters*, because the pole clusters give the main model-independent effect of resonances. The pole positions are rather stable characteristics for various models, whereas masses and widths are very model-dependent for wide resonances.

**Table:** The pole clusters for resonances on the $\sqrt{s}$-plane. $\sqrt{s_r} = E_r - i \Gamma_r / 2$ [MeV].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sheet</th>
<th>$E_r$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_r/2$</th>
<th>$f_0(600)$</th>
<th>$f_0(980)$</th>
<th>$f_0(1370)$</th>
<th>$f_0(1500)$</th>
<th>$f_0'(1500)$</th>
<th>$f_0(1710)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>514.5 ± 12.4</td>
<td>465.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>514.5 ± 12.4</td>
<td>1008.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>32.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1512.7 ± 4.9</td>
<td>285.8 ± 12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>544.8 ± 17.7</td>
<td>465.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>976.2 ± 5.8</td>
<td>1387.6 ± 24.4</td>
<td>53.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>1506.2 ± 9.0</td>
<td>127.9 ± 10.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>514.5 ± 12.4</td>
<td>465.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>1387.6 ± 24.4</td>
<td>178.5 ± 37.2</td>
<td>216.0 ± 17.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>566.5 ± 29.1</td>
<td>465.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>1387.6 ± 24.4</td>
<td>260.9 ± 73.7</td>
<td>1493.9 ± 3.1</td>
<td>1498.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>1732.8 ± 43.2</td>
<td>114.8 ± 61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>536.2 ± 25.5</td>
<td>465.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>1387.6 ± 24.4</td>
<td>249.3 ± 83.1</td>
<td>58.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>1511.4 ± 4.3</td>
<td>179.1 ± 4.0</td>
<td>111.2 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>536.2 ± 25.5</td>
<td>465.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>1493.9 ± 5.6</td>
<td>47.8 ± 9.3</td>
<td>99.7 ± 18.0</td>
<td>55.2 ± 38.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>1493.9 ± 3.2</td>
<td>62.2 ± 9.2</td>
<td>1512.7 ± 4.9</td>
<td>299.6 ± 14.5</td>
<td>1732.8 ± 43.2</td>
<td>58.8 ± 16.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, mass values are needed in some cases, e.g., in mass relations for multiplets. Therefore, we stress that such parameters of the wide multi-channel states, as *masses, widths and coupling constants with channels, should be calculated using the poles on sheets II, IV, VIII*, because only on these sheets the analytic continuations have the forms:

\[ \propto 1/S_{11}^I, \quad \propto 1/S_{22}^I, \quad \propto 1/S_{33}^I, \]

respectively, i.e., the pole positions of resonances are at the same points of the complex-energy plane, as the resonance zeros on the physical sheet, and are not shifted due to the coupling of channels. E.g., if

\[
T^{res} = \sqrt{s} \Gamma_{el}/(m_{res}^2 - s - i\sqrt{s} \Gamma_{tot}),
\]

\[
m_{res} = \sqrt{E_r^2 + (\Gamma_r/2)^2}
\]

and \( \Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma_r \),

where the pole position \( \sqrt{s_r} = E_r - i\Gamma_r/2 \) must be taken on sheets II, IV, VIII, depending on the resonance classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( f_0(600) )</th>
<th>( f_0(980) )</th>
<th>( f_0(1370) )</th>
<th>( f_0(1500) )</th>
<th>( f'_0(1500) )</th>
<th>( f_0(1710) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( m_{res} )[MeV]</td>
<td>693.9( \pm 10.0 )</td>
<td>1008.1( \pm 3.1 )</td>
<td>1399.0( \pm 24.7 )</td>
<td>1495.2( \pm 3.2 )</td>
<td>1539.5( \pm 5.4 )</td>
<td>1733.8( \pm 43.2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Gamma_{tot} )[MeV]</td>
<td>931.2( \pm 11.8 )</td>
<td>64.0( \pm 3.0 )</td>
<td>357.0( \pm 74.4 )</td>
<td>124.4( \pm 18.4 )</td>
<td>571.6( \pm 25.8 )</td>
<td>117.6( \pm 32.8 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the combined analysis of data on isoscalar S-wave processes $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $K\bar{K}$, $\eta\eta$ and on decays $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi(\pi\pi, K\bar{K})$, $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\pi\pi)$ and $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi\pi$ from the Argus, Crystal Ball, CLEO, CUSB, DM2, Mark II, Mark III, and BES III Collaborations, an additional confirmation of the $f_0(600)$ with mass about 700 MeV and width 930 MeV is obtained. This mass value accords with prediction ($m_\sigma \approx m_\rho$) on the basis of mended symmetry by Weinberg (S.Weinberg, PRL 65 (1990) 1177) and with a refined analysis using the large-$N_c$ consistency conditions between the unitarization and resonance saturation suggesting $m_\rho - m_\sigma = O(N_c^{-1})$ (J.Nieves, E.Ruiz Arriola, PR D80 (2009) 045023).

Of course, such large width of this state is a problem. Maybe, we observe a superposition of two states – narrower $\sigma$-meson and wider state as it is the case in the 1500-MeV region..

Indication for $f_0(980)$ is obtained to be a non-$q\bar{q}$ state, e.g., the bound $\eta\eta$ state, because this state lies slightly above the $K\bar{K}$ threshold and is described by the pole on sheet II and by the shifted pole on sheet III without the corresponding poles on sheets VI and VII.
The $f_0(1370)$ and $f_0(1710)$ have the dominant $s\bar{s}$ component. Conclusion about the $f_0(1370)$ quite agrees with the one of work of Crystal Barrel Collaboration (C.Amsler et al., PL B355 (1995) 425) where the $f_0(1370)$ is identified as $\eta\eta$ resonance in the $\pi^0\eta\eta$ final state of the $\bar{p}p$ annihilation. This explains also quite well why one did not find this state considering only the $\pi\pi$ scattering (W.Ochs, arXiv:1001.4486v1 [hep-ph]; P.Minkowski, W.Ochs, EPJ C9 (1999) 283; arXiv: hep-ph/0209223; hep-ph/0209225). Conclusion about the $f_0(1710)$ is consistent with the experimental facts that this state is observed in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S K_S$ (S.Braccini, Frascati Phys. Series XV (1999) 53) and not observed in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ (R.Barate et al., PL B472 (2000) 189).

In the 1500-MeV region, there are two states: the $f_0(1500)$ ($m_{res} \approx 1495$ MeV, $\Gamma_{tot} \approx 124$ MeV) and the $f'_0(1500)$ ($m_{res} \approx 1539$ MeV, $\Gamma_{tot} \approx 574$ MeV). The $f'_0(1500)$ is interpreted as a glueball taking into account its biggest width among enclosing states (V.V.Anisovich et al., NP Proc.Suppl. A56 (1997) 270).

We propose the following assignment of the scalar mesons to lower nonets, excluding the $f_0(980)$ as the non-$q\bar{q}$ state. The lowest nonet: the isovector $a_0(980)$, the isodoublet $K^*_0(900)$, and $f_0(600)$ and $f_0(1370)$ as mixtures of the 8th component of octet and the SU(3) singlet. The Gell-Mann–Okubo (GM-O) formula

$$3m_{f_8}^2 = 4m_{K^*_0}^2 - m_{a_0}^2$$

gives $m_{f_8} = 870$ MeV.

In relation for masses of nonet

$$m_{\sigma} + m_{f_0(1370)} = 2m_{K^*_0(900)}$$

the left-hand side is by about 14% bigger than the right-hand one.
For the next nonet we find: the isovector $a_0(1450)$, the isodoublet $K_0^*(1450)$, and two isoscalars $f_0(1500)$ and $f_0(1710)$. From the GM-O formula, $m_{f_8} \approx 1450$ MeV. In formula

$$m_{f_0(1500)} + m_{f_0(1710)} = 2m_{K_0^*(1450)}$$

the left-hand side is by about 10\% bigger than the right-hand one.

This assignment removes a number of questions, stood earlier, and does not put any new. The mass formulas indicate to non-simple mixing scheme. The breaking of 2nd mass relations tells us that the $\sigma - f_0(1370)$ and $f_0(1500) - f_0(1710)$ systems get additional contributions absent in the $K_0^*(900)$ and $K_0^*(1450)$, respectively. A search of the adequate mixing scheme is complicated by the fact that here there is also a remaining chiral symmetry, though, on the other hand, this permits one to predict correctly, e.g., the $\sigma$-meson mass (S.Weinberg, PRL 65 (1990) 1177).
There is a number of properties of the scalar mesons, which do not allow one satisfactorily to make up the lowest nonet. The main of them is inaccordance of the approximately equal masses of the \( f_0(980) \) and \( a_0(980) \) and the found \( s\bar{s} \) dominance in the wave function of the \( f_0(980) \). If these states are in the same nonet, the \( f_0(980) \) must be heavier than \( a_0(980) \) for 250-300 MeV, because a difference of masses of \( s \)- and \( u \)-quarks is 120-150 MeV. We proposed our way to solve this problem.
The Scalar Mesons in Decays of the $\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ Families

Diagram showing various decay modes involving $\pi\pi$, $KK$, and $\eta\eta$. The diagram is structured with levels numbered from 1 to 8, each level containing branches for different decay channels labeled I to VIII.